

FIREBIRD TRADING SYSTEMS

Campaign Trading Position Analysis

LABU | Long Call Diagonal Spread

October 23, 2026

Position structure and economics

Price, volatility, and time-decay scenarios

Risk management and campaign adjustments

Educational options research | Options involve substantial risk

FIREBIRD TRADING SYSTEMS

Campaign Trading Position Analysis

LABU | Long Call Diagonal Spread | October 23, 2026

An options campaign combines an initial position with a sequence of decisions as underlying price, implied volatility and time change. The goal is to evaluate each next action on its own economics, not simply to hold until expiration.

POSITION	CONTRACTS	STRIKE	EXPIRATION
Short call	3	$250	Nov. 6, 2026
Long call	3	$245	Jan. 19, 2029

1. Executive Campaign Assessment

The LABU diagonal pairs a retained long-dated $245 call with a nearer-term $250 short call, three contracts per leg. The longer call offers extended bullish optionality; the shorter call may offset part of its cost through premium collection, but creates an obligation that can constrain gains during a rally.

A favorable early campaign may involve LABU trading below or around the short strike while the short option loses time value. If LABU rises sharply, the short call can become costly to repurchase or roll. If LABU falls, the short obligation may ease but the retained long calls can lose substantial value. Neither premium collection nor repeated rolling guarantees a profitable campaign.

The most useful decision is comparative: at each market development, is it better to hold, close the short leg, roll, reduce exposure, restructure or exit? That comparison requires current executable prices, remaining capital risk and a clearly stated directional thesis.

2. Position Structure and Economics

LEG	QTY	STRIKE	EXPIRY	DISPLAYED DELTA
Short call	−3	$250	Nov. 6, 2026	0.46
Long call	+3	$245	Jan. 19, 2029	0.72

This is a call diagonal, not a same-expiration vertical. Its two expirations cause different sensitivities to price, volatility and time. The retained January 2029 call provides long-duration exposure; the November 2026 short call introduces a nearer-term liability and assignment considerations.

The TradeStation workspace displays short-call open/last prices of $23.77 and long-call open/last prices of $114.32. Current implied volatility is displayed as 102.23% on the short call and 83.05% on the long call. These screen observations do not establish a confirmed campaign cost basis or executable two-sided market. A trade decision should be priced from live quotes and actual holdings.

The capital commitment includes the long-call investment, the effect of the short-call credit and any broker margin treatment. Reducing the short-call liability by buying it back restores upside flexibility but increases the net amount invested in the remaining long calls.

3. Profit Opportunity and Risk Exposure

The position offers two potential contributors to campaign results: changes in the long-dated call value and the net cash flows from managing the shorter call. These can offset or reinforce each other. The trader should track realized cash flows, fees and the marked value of all remaining legs rather than counting premium receipts as profit.

UNDERLYING PRICE SLICE	THEO P/L	CAMPAIGN INTERPRETATION
$218.94	−$1,956.35	Downside scenario: reassess the long-call investment and risk budget.
$243.27	+$150.11	Near short strike: short-call moneyness and roll economics matter.
$267.60	+$1,403.92	Higher-price scenario: compare long-call gains with short-call liability.

These three figures are theoretical observations from the supplied Theo P/L graph at its displayed simulation settings, not actual realized results or forecasts. They do not by themselves establish break-even levels or a complete profit/loss boundary.

The graph displays a maximum-loss observation of −$27,195. Its precise scenario horizon and scope are not established here, so it should not be interpreted as a universal loss limit. Actual exposure also depends on entry costs, market prices, exercise treatment and subsequent adjustments.

Special risk: a leveraged ETF

LABU is a daily-reset leveraged ETF. Multi-period returns are path-dependent and can diverge from a simple multiple of an index’s cumulative move. The long January 2029 call therefore carries both option risk and the long-horizon effects of a volatile leveraged underlying.

4. Underlying Price Scenarios

SCENARIO	POSITION EFFECT	MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES
Sharp decline	Short liability may ease, but the long calls can lose significant value.	Review the bullish thesis; compare reducing exposure or exiting against continued holding.
Moderate decline	Short-call value may fall; retained long-call value and volatility still matter.	Assess whether continuing short sales improves the overall campaign economics.
Sideways	Short-option decay may help while the long call also carries time and IV exposure.	Compare holding with a priced short-call close or roll.
Moderate rally	Long call may gain while short-call liability rises.	Evaluate repurchase, strike roll or partial realization.
Sharp rally / gap	Short-call intrinsic value, assignment and capital requirements may rise rapidly.	Review executable close/roll costs, deliverability and residual exposure.

The position should be evaluated as a combined spread. A short call becoming more expensive does not necessarily mean the campaign is losing if the long call gains more; similarly, a profitable short call may not offset a larger loss in the retained long option.

5. Implied Volatility and Time Decay

WORKSPACE METRIC	SHORT $250 CALL	LONG $245 CALL
Current IV	102.23%	83.05%
Displayed delta	0.46	0.72
Displayed gamma	0.01	0.00
Displayed theta	−0.44	−0.06
Displayed vega	0.28	1.20

These are displayed leg observations, not verified net-position Greeks. The short position reverses the economic exposure of the quoted long-option sensitivity, and the source does not establish all scaling conventions. Accordingly, the figures should not be mechanically added into a portfolio risk estimate.

Parallel volatility expansion

Long-dated volatility expansion may support the retained call, but higher near-term volatility can increase the cost of closing the short call. The relative movement of the two expirations matters more than a single headline volatility measure.

Volatility contraction and term-structure changes

A drop in long-dated IV can damage the retained call even if the short option also becomes cheaper. If front-month volatility rises relative to back-month volatility, a short-call roll may become more costly. Reprice both legs before assuming a volatility move is favorable.

Short expiration approaches

As the November expiration approaches, exercise and assignment risk, short-call moneyness and the timing of a roll become increasingly important. Theta is not guaranteed daily income: a sharp price move can dominate expected decay.

6. Campaign Adjustment Strategies

A successful campaign compares the economics of each next action with holding and with exiting. Adjustment decisions should use current quotes, commissions, account restrictions and the value of the residual position.

Hold the diagonal

Retain the existing structure while the thesis and risk budget remain intact. Avoid turnover costs, but continue monitoring short-call assignment exposure, volatility and the capital tied up in the long calls.

Close the short call

Buying back the November $250 call removes that short obligation and restores more upside flexibility. The cost is a cash outflow and greater unhedged long-call exposure; compare the resulting position with closing the entire spread.

Roll the short strike

Moving the short call to another strike can change upside participation and premium received. The economics include the price paid to close the existing call, the replacement premium, fees and the new liability—not merely the credit on the new sale.

Roll the short expiration

Extending the short call changes the obligation calendar and volatility exposure. A later expiration may bring additional premium but can prolong the period in which upside is constrained.

Reduce position size

Closing a proportional number of spreads may reduce absolute exposure and free capital. Confirm that any partial close leaves a coherent and permissible residual position.

Hedge or restructure

A separately priced hedge may reduce a defined exposure but adds cost and basis risk. A restructure should reflect a new supported market thesis, not an effort to hide a loss through additional legs.

Close the campaign

Exiting both legs can be preferable when the thesis deteriorates, liquidity becomes poor, risk limits are approached or a proposed roll worsens the overall payoff. Confirm actual fills and remaining obligations.

Repeated short-call sales can be a legitimate campaign technique, but cumulative premiums are only one component of the final economic result. A journal should capture every realized gain/loss, adjustment cash flow, commission and the current marked value of the remaining long and short legs.

7. Campaign Management Plan

WHEN	WHAT TO REVIEW	DECISION
Before entry	Full debit/credit, live bid/ask markets, account capital and thesis.	Size position appropriately or defer.
Near $250	Short-call extrinsic value, moneyness, assignment and spread mark.	Hold, repurchase, roll or close.
Large decline	Long-call value, outlook and remaining capital at risk.	Reduce, restructure or exit.
IV shifts	Front/back IV, option marks and term structure.	Reprice alternatives.
Near Nov. expiration	Exercise rules, broker handling and replacement terms.	Close or roll deliberately.
After adjustment	Realized cash flows, residual holdings, costs and new risk.	Update cumulative campaign results.

The management discipline is to specify what would invalidate the thesis before a crisis develops. Any new short-call sale should be evaluated as a new obligation with its own potential reward, assignment risk and opportunity cost.

8. Conclusions and Risk Considerations

The LABU call diagonal combines longer-duration bullish exposure with the possibility of short-dated premium collection. Its potential advantage is flexibility: the trader can adapt short-call exposure as price, implied volatility and time evolve. Its central risk is that short-premium income may be overwhelmed by losses on the long calls or by the cost of defending a short call during a strong rally.

For the current campaign, the practical focus is on the November $250 short call, the value and outlook for the January 2029 $245 long call, and the cost of each potential adjustment. Decisions should be based on total campaign economics rather than individual premium receipts.

Options trading can involve substantial losses, assignment, liquidity constraints and changing margin requirements. The price-slice P/L values are model observations under selected assumptions, not forecasts or guarantees. This publication is educational research, not individualized investment advice.